G. R. S. IX-5]

97-49-4

Previous references.

police 7093. 1894-95 191 !

(police)

File No. 2

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

police 5095.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7

M. ~~Part~~ B for

SUBJECT.

Submission of correct lists of persons to be exempted from the operations of the Mysore Arms Regulation

---

Commissioned
Tendered
by

PB—50,000—24-7-16

Transfer to C/Coorg in July 1875

3329 07
1880

(1)

19 July 1875

The Commissioners of Divisions and Superintendent of Coorg were called upon to submit Statements in the form appended, giving particulars of all licenses granted under the Arms Act during the current year distinguishing separately licenses for manufacture and sale of arms, ammunition, etc., and for sulphur and saltpetre. They were further directed to submit similar Statements annually on the 1st April. — Communicated to Judicial Commissioner

No: 22441-74 dt 30-5-95
J1357 8-6-95

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.

~~Read~~ Order.

~~The~~ Since the passing of the Arms Regulation, No VI of 1890, recommendations have been made from time to time by the D^t Comrs of D^ts (Collector Officers) for exemption of various persons from the operation of the (proposals) Regulation. These could not however be disposed of pending the issue of the Rules required under Sec. 12.

2. It is observed that the cases of several of those recommended for exemption are covered by the Rules issued vide No 1 of ~~1894~~ 1st May 1895, published in the Mysore Gazette of the 9th Inst.; and that no further orders are required thereon.

3. The D^t Comrs of Districts are accordingly requested to submit, ~~forthwith proposals~~ if they propose any persons to be exempted from the

matters of the Arms' Regulations,
correct lists of them with full
details for the consideration of
Govt.

The ~~date~~ returns of licenses
made under the Act
received in this Office ~~between~~
between the 1st & 31st
inclusive, are not to be forwarded
hereafter.

Inspr.

16 May 1895.

Supt

No 3329 of 1880
9/19.7.75

30.5.95.

To: The DY Comrs of Districts.
The Inspr Genl of Police.
Heads of Other Depts for information.

GM
16/5

No. 22441-74— J. 1357, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH MAY 1895.

ORDER.—Since the passing of the Arms Regulation, No. VI of 1890, recommendations have been made from time to time by the Deputy Commissioners of Districts and other officers for exemption of various persons from the operation of the Regulation. These proposals could not however be disposed of pending the issue of Rules required under section 12.

2. It is observed that the cases of several of those recommended for exemption are covered by the Rules promulgated with Notification No. 1 of 1st May 1895, (*vide* Mysore Gazette of the 9th instant), and that no further orders are required thereon.

3. The Deputy Commissioners of Districts are accordingly requested to submit, if they propose any persons to be exempted from the operation of the Arms Regulation, correct lists of them with full details for the consideration of the Government.

4. The returns of licenses received in this office under instructions conveyed in the Proceedings specified in the margin need not be forwarded hereafter.

No. 3329—Gl. 80, dated 19th July 1875.

V. N. NARASIMMIYENGAR,
General Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Heads of other Departments for information.

Exd.—A.N.S.

1894-95

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th May 1895

No. 22441-74 / J. 1357

Directing the District Magistrates to submit correct lists of persons required to be exempted under the operation of the Arms Regulation and to discontinue sending return of licenses hitherto submitted under Government Proceedings dated 19th July 1875.

M.G.C.P.B.—20-6-95—120

Send up the Excise Act quoted.
Issue
16/7

Obtained from the Excise branch and submitted.
Init. 16-7-95.

We have only 2 Excise Asst Commrs, who are Europeans, & are exempt. The Asst Comrs in charge of the Abkari work in the Dts are exempted by virtue of their office. The exemption of the Revisteder is a matter on which the 1st Comrs orders are requested.
16/7 Issue

To the 1st Comr

Why the Shr
Asst Commrs
is not to
the Excise
or Revisteder
to exempt
Issue
16/7

To the Rev. Secy for remarks if any.
Issue
17/7

Excise Inspectors Davy & Macdonell who are already exempted, which no Revisteder except Coorg. The Asst Comrs, the Officers in charge of the Excise Revn come under exemption (4) or (13). I do not think the Revisteders of the

Gen. 30.]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
189 309-
13 JUL 1895

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To General

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Govt. ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 6th
Despatched 12 } July 1895.
Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 98
No.

~~In reply~~ to Government Proceedings
No. 22441-74/1367, dated 30th May 1895.

SUBJECT.

Requests that Excise Asst Commissioners and Excise Commissioner's office Sheristadar may be included in the list of persons exempted from the operation of the Arms Regulation.

Submitted with Agreements.
Init. 13-7-95.

J. 13/7/95.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

No. 98/8

Excise Commissioner's office —
Camp Malvalli 6th July 1895
12

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Government Proceedings —
No. 22441-74/J.1357, dated 30th May 1895.

As Excise Assistant Commissioners and Excise Commissioner's office Sheristadar who have not been appointed Excise officers under section 24 of the Excise Act, do not come under clause (16) of para 1 of the Rules under the Arms Regulation, published in Government Notification

Notification No 1, of 1st May 1895

I have the honor to request that the above officers may be included in the list of persons exempted from the operation of the Arms Regulation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Put up a copy of the Regulation also, and the Rules. Not see the Gazette sheets. Nov 1893

To
The Ist Councillor.

Instead of exempting assistg / Collector individual in the Gazette under sec 22, it seems to be easier & requisite him to take out a licence for his gun

Nov 1893

I think so too

Nov 1893

OFFICE OF THE
436.
JUL 1895

1895 9.7 J 72

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 3607 / 83

From

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General Department.

Dated Bangalore 15th July 1895.

Despatched

Enclosure No. Two.
Enclosures returned in person.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain the Sanction of Government to Lab Avergee N. Varadarajula Naidu being exempted from the operation of the Indian Arms Act as a special case. ——

Submitted with Govt Notification No. 107 1st May 1895 - dd.

J. 17.7.95 17-7-95

Mysore Govt. C. P. Bangalore.—10-9-92—1,000.

(5)

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

No. 3604 [illegible]

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 15th July 1895.

From *Colonel C. Bowen.*
~~The~~ Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To *The Secretary to the*
Government of Mysore
General Department

SIR,

With reference to Government Notification No. 1 of the 1st day 1895, published in the Mysore Gazette of the 9th idem, I have the honor to forward the accompanying docket No. 2484/279 of the 8th instant, and enclosure, from the Executive Engineer Bangalore Division, and to request that under the circumstances therein represented, you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to Sub Overseer A. Varadarajulu Naidu being exempted from the operation of the Indian Arms Act, as a special case. Under the Notification quoted above, only Engineers and subordinates of

and

and above the grade of Overseers are exempted. ——

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

C. Arwen. Colonel.

Bangalore
15th July 1895.

Chief Engineer of Ellyapore. —

EDD
WM

No: 1012 / 892 dt 17-7-95

Revenue.

814

Read

Letter No 98/8 dt 6 July 1895 fr. the Excise Commr. recommending that the Excise Asst Comrs & the Sr. Comrs Office Asst., who have not been appd Excise Offrs under Sec. 24 of the Sr. Act, may be exempted fr. the operation of the Amrs' Regulation.

Order thereon

The object of this refce. is not clear. The Asst Comrs. in the Excise Dept are exempt under Clause 5 of the Notifn. No 1 dt 1.5.95 (Mysore Gazette, Part I of 23 ID), and the duties of the Asst. of the Excise Comrs Office do not require him to post armed. His exemption is therefore not considered necessary.

17 July 1895

To the Excise Comr in Mysore

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No: 1277 / 139 dt 20-7-95.

(7)

85

Read

1. Letter No. 3607 87 from the C/Engr 15th July 1895, forwarding docket No. 2484/279 from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore and recommending the exemption of Sub. overseer A. Varadaraja Naidu from the operation of the Indian Arms Act as a special case.

2. Docket No. 2484/279 and its enclosure.

Order thereon.

~~From the above~~

~~it does not appear to~~ ~~does not consider it~~ ~~expedient~~ ~~necessary to~~ ~~Govt to be~~,

~~Sub Overseer does not appear to Govt to justify~~ ~~exempt the Sub. overseer~~

re exemption of
A. Varadaraju Naidu

as a special case, the requirements of the case will be met by

~~& He may be required~~

~~to~~ his taking out a license

~~for his grass~~.

Mys 20.7.95

Secy Secy

To

The Chief Engineer in Mysore

Encl

128.

A 12 of 95-(8)

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

846 189

OFFICE OF THE
65-3-
24. JUL 1895
108
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

From The District Magistrate of Badur

To The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 19th
Despatched 22 } July 95.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 61 } 1895-96.
No.

Referring to Government Proceedings No 22441-74 dated 30th May last regarding submission of correct lists of persons required to be exempted from the operation of the Arms Regulation, have the honor to request that the list submitted to the Chief Secretary with this office docket No 313 dated 25th October 1887 may be kindly ordered to be returned to this office to enable the undersigned to have the correct list referred to, prepared.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
for District Magistrate
of Badur

24/7/95

To General Record

Please put up No. 313 of 25th October/87 together with the list quoted therein.

Asst 25-7-95

The above number with connected papers were put up with Genl No 64 (from D.C. Bangalore No 6329 of 21/11/87) and submitted to Chief Secy on 16/2/88. Nothing is marked against this no both in Genl & Home Registers

CLK 25/7/95

Sd/3 25/7/95

Submitted.

Asst. 25-7-95

Enquire in the Legislative Dept

Asst 26/

RD 31/7/95.

Submitted.

The paper referred to is not in the Legislative Department.

SWK 3/9/95

Submitted for orders.

AS. 6-9-95.

Submitted. Genl Dept's letter No. 313 of 25/10/87 is not in the file referred to 7/4/96 [illegible]

Submitted. The Genl Dept's letter No. 313 of 25/10/87 is not in the file referred to 7/4/96 [illegible]

Submitted. A third search made as ordered. Neither the Genl & Rules handle nor the Explanatory Register of Arms Licenses have it. This is further proof that the letter was never received. [illegible]

This should have been submitted three weeks ago. Why are letters delayed like this? The letter must be in the Genl Dept relating to the Arms Rules passed lately. 6/9 [illegible]

2nd Dept. Asst Legislative Dept

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1895.

9/7

From The General Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

To The District Magistrate
of Kadur.

Dated 9th Sept.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3425

No. 385

The Dist Magistrate's Docket No. 61 of 19th July last requesting that the list, submitted by him with his Docket No 313 of 25th Octr 1887, of persons exempted from the operation of the Arms Rules may be returned to his Office for reference in preparing the lists called for in Govt Pro: No. 22441-74 J. 1357 of 30th May 1895.

In reply, has the D. Collector stated that the Dist Magistrate's Docket No. 313 of 25th Octr 1887 above quoted is not forthcoming in this Office.

Mysore 9/9/95

Genl Secy